## TABLE ERRATA

397.—Paul F. Byrd & Morris D. Friedman, Handbook of Elliptic Integrals for Engineers and Physicists, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1954.

The following corrections should be made in the table entitled Values of the Function  $KZ(\beta, k)$ , on pp. 336-343.

$\sin^{-1} k$	$oldsymbol{eta}$	for	read
15°	<b>44°</b>	.027204	.027203
40°	57°	.196336	.196349
	64°	.171978	.171980
	73°	.124059	.124061
85°	63°	1.982530	1.982526
	87°	.548499	.558435
87°	$22^{\circ}$	1.229612	1.229589
	<b>44°</b>	2.154030	2.153771
	79°	1.931185	1.930751
88°	73°	2.635400	2.635330
89°	8°	.616197	.616207
	71°	3.351047	3.350992
	86°	2.081462	2.081437

HENRY E. FETTIS JAMES C. CASLIN

Applied Mathematics Laboratory Aerospace Research Laboratories Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

EDITORIAL NOTE: An additional serious error in this table was noted by D. Caligo (MTAC, v. 13, 1959, p. 141, MTE 269). For further notices of errata in this book, see Math. Comp., v. 18, 1964, p. 532, MTE 352, and p. 687, MTE 359.

398.—Henry E. Fettis & James C. Caslin, Tables of Elliptic Integrals of the First, Second and Third Kind, Report ARL 64-232, Aerospace Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, December, 1964.

In Table III (pp. 44-93), corresponding to  $k^2 = 1.00$ , the following additive corrections should be made, in units of the last decimal place.

	φ						
$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^2$	65.0°	70.0°	75.0°	80.0°	82.5°	85.0°	87.5°
-1.0						1	6
9					1	2	7
8					1	<b>2</b>	7
7				1	1	<b>2</b>	7
6					1	<b>2</b>	9
5					1	<b>2</b>	9
<b>4</b>					1	<b>2</b>	10

640 ERRATA

3			1	1	1	3	10
2					1	2	10
1					2	3	11
+.1			1	1	1	3	14
+.2				1	1	4	16
+.3				1	2	4	18
+.4		1		$^2$	3	5	22
+.5		1	1	1	3	7	26
+.6				2	4	8	32
+.7		1	1	$^2$	4	10	42
+.8			1	3	6	14	63
+.9	1	1	1	4	10	27	122
1.0						1	3

These errors in the table of 10D values of the elliptic integral of the third kind are attributable to a programming error, which resulted in the value of  $k^2$  being set equal to  $1 - 10^{-16}$  instead of 1.

HENRY E. FETTIS

Applied Mathematics Research Laboratory Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

EDITORIAL NOTE: For a review of these tables see Math. Comp., v. 19, 1965, p. 509, R MT 81

399.—MILTON ABRAMOWITZ & IRENE A. STEGUN, Editors, Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series, No. 55, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., third printing, March 1965.

On p. 333, formula 8.2.7 should read

$$P_{-\mu-1/2}^{-\nu-1/2} \left[ \frac{z}{(z^2-1)^{1/2}} \right] = \frac{(z^2-1)^{1/4} e^{-i\mu\pi} Q_{\nu}^{\ \mu}(z)}{(\frac{1}{2}\pi)^{1/2} \Gamma(\nu+\mu+1)}$$

and the left side of formula 8.2.8 should read

$$Q_{-\mu-1/2}^{-\nu-1/2} \left[ \frac{z}{(z^2-1)^{1/2}} \right].$$

On p. 334, the left side of formula 8.6.11 should read  $-Q_r^{-1/2}(z)$ .

On p. 335, in formula 8.8.2 the factor  $(z^2-1)^{-\mu/2}$  on the right side should be replaced by  $(z^2-1)^{\mu/2}$ .

HENRY E. FETTIS

Applied Mathematics Research Laboratory Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

On p. 783, in formula 22.9.8 the third column should read  $(1 - \ln R^2)/2$ , and in formula 22.9.11 the third column should read  $R^{-1}(1-xz+R)^{-1/2}$ .

VAN E. WOOD

ERRATA 641

Recalculation of the coefficients in the Maclaurin series for  $1/\Gamma(z)$  to more than 25D has revealed the following corrections to be required in the 16D table in 6.1.34 on p. 256. The final decimal digits in  $c_k$  corresponding to k=3,8,10,12,16, and 17 should each be increased by a unit; the final digits in  $c_{11}$  and  $c_{24}$  should each be decreased by a unit, while the value  $c_{25}$  should be decreased by two final units. Also, the sign of  $c_{26}$  should be changed to minus.

This supplements and emends the corrections made by Isaacson and Salzer (MTAC, v. 1, 1943, p. 124, MTE 19) in the corresponding original table of Bourguet (Acta Math., v. 2, 1883, pp. 261–295).

J. W. W.

Editorial Note: An independent calculation of  $c_{23}$  shows that the value, 206, given in the NBS Handbook is correct—contrary to the assertion made in MTE 393. In fact  $c_{23} = -0.0_{13}$  20 58326 05356 479 ···.

400.—A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger & F. G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Volume 2, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1953.

On p. 187, the right side of equation (34) should read

$$T_{n+m}(x) + T_{n-m}(x)$$
.

Van E. Wood

401.—A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger & F. G. Tricomi, Tables of Integral Transforms, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1954.

In Volume I, p. 218, in transform 4.23(18), for  $\frac{1}{2}\sigma$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}\sigma + \frac{1}{2}$ , read  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma + \frac{1}{2}$ . Also, the second convergence condition on the right should read Re  $p > 2 \mid \text{Re } \lambda \mid$  if m = n - 1.

In Volume II, pp. 128–129, in transform 10.2(9), the denominator parameters in the first  ${}_{1}F_{2}$  should be  $1 - \mu - (\rho + \nu)/2$ ,  $1 - \mu - (\rho - \nu)/2$ , while the numerator parameter in the second  ${}_{1}F_{2}$  should be  $(\rho + \nu)/2$ .

In Volume II, p. 153, in transform 10.3(88), for  $-\lambda x^2$ , read  $\lambda x^2$ . Also change the convergence conditions on the right to read

$$\label{eq:resolvent} \begin{split} \operatorname{Re} y &> 0 \quad \text{if} \quad p < q-1; \\ \operatorname{Re} y &> 2 \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda \mid \quad \text{if} \quad p = q-1. \end{split}$$

Van E. Wood

**402.**—G. E. Roberts & H. Kaufman, *Table of Laplace Transforms*, W. B. Saunders, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1966.

On p. 116, in transform 33.2.1(18), for c/2, (c+1)/2, read c,  $c+\frac{1}{2}$ . Also, the last convergence condition should read Re  $s>2 \mid \operatorname{Re} k \mid \operatorname{if} p=q-1$ .

On p. 112, transform 32.1(3) is a special case of the preceding, and the convergence conditions should accordingly be

Re 
$$s > 2 | \text{Re } c |$$
,  $q = p + 1$ ;  
Re  $s > 0$ ,  $q > p + 1$ .

Van E. Wood

642 ERRATA

**403.**—D. H. Lehmer, Guide to Tables in the Theory of Numbers, National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D. C., 1941, reprinted 1961.

On p. 162, in section 2,  $[f_1]$ , it is erroneously stated that  $10^8 + 2271$ ,  $10^8 + 4291$ , and  $10^8 + 4909$  should be deleted from the list of primes given on pp. 97–98 of Tavole di Numeri Primi entro Limiti Diversi e Tavole Affini, by L. Poletti, Milan, 1920. In fact, these numbers are prime.

There exists an additional error in Poletti's table; namely,  $10^8 + 9513$  is not prime, since it is divisible by 1531.

M. F. Jones M. Lal

Memorial University of Newfoundland St. John's, Newfoundland Canada

Editorial note: The primality of the first three numbers cited can be verified by consulting C. L. Baker & F. J. Gruenberger, *The First Six Million Prime Numbers*, The Microcard Foundation, Madison, Wisconsin, 1959. (See *Math. Comp.*, v. 15, 1961, p. 82, RMT 4.)

**404.**—D. N. Lehmer, *List of Prime Numbers from* 1 to 10,006,721, Publication No. 165, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Washington, D. C., 1914; reprinted by Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1956.

A table of the Riemann function P(x) is given on pp. xiii–xvi. The entries therein should each be decreased by a unit for the following 11 values of x:

750,000	1,000,000	2,400,000	3,450,000
5,050,000	6,350,000	9,250,000	9,650,000
9 750 000	9.850.000	9.950.000	

and the entry corresponding to x = 4,700,000 should be increased by a unit.

In the same table the columns headed "Tchebycheff" do not constitute, as the author erroneously states (p. ix), a tabulation of

$$\int_2^x dy/\ln y,$$

but of

$$Li(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_0^{1-\epsilon} dy / \ln y + \int_{1-\epsilon}^x dy / \ln y.$$

(The same error occurs in D. C. Mapes, "Fast method for computing the number of primes less than a given limit,"  $Math.\ Comp.$ , v. 17, 1963, pp. 179–185.) These tabular values of Li(x) should be decreased by a unit for the following 11 values of x:

650,000	1,200,000	2,150,000	4,400,000
4,550,000	5,350,000	5,550,000	8,200,000
8,350,000	8,450,000	8,800,000	

and the entry for x = 9.950,000 should be increased by a unit.

M. F. Jones M. Lal